

Serial No.; 10/671,092
Filed: 25 September 2003
D5354

REMARKS

Applicant has amended Claim 10, which also results in an amendment to Claim 11 that depends on Claim 10. Applicant has canceled Claims 1 to 4, and 8 to 9. Claims 5-7 remain as they were originally filed.

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-4, and 8 to 9 under 35 USC 102. Applicants have canceled these claims.

The Examiner further stated that Claims 5 to 7 are allowable as originally filed. Examiner stated that Claims 10 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has made such amendments to Claims 10 and 11 through an amendment to Claim 10. Applicant now believes these claims to be allowable.

Applicants respectfully believe the application is in a condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 20, 2005
Warrenville, IL 60555
Tel. No. (630) 753-3023

Jeffrey P. Calfa
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 37,105

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER MPEP 512

I hereby certify that this **AMENDMENT** is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents on or before January 20, 2005 to (703) 872-9306.

Jeffrey P. Calfa